Interview Summary	Application No.		L . 1
	09/317 409	Lucas et al.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) Jenna-Leigh Befumo	(3) Phil Wrist (4) Peter Saxon		
(2) Chery/ Juska & Terrel Morris	(4) Peter Saxon	<u>& Jason</u> Oku	n
Date of Interview: 3/21/2001			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's represen	tative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Examples of honeycom Shiftness treated fabrical Claim(s) discussed: 55	e)□ No. <u>b structures with and</u> is.	without stiffne	es bratment
Identification of prior art discussed:			_
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	i. g)☐ was not reached.	h) N/A.	
ply as a layer of the honeycomb s claim would be outside of elected divisional case to be filed.	d invention requi	ring a CPA	or RCE
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments the	er agreed would reneat would reneat would render the	der the claims e claims
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a checked).	separate record of the subs	tance of the intervie	ew(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIE action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ON STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIE reverse side or on attached sheet.	W. (See MPEP Section 71: IE MONTH FROM THIS IN	3.04). If a reply to t FERVIEW DATE TO	he last Office D FILE A
Complement Send Mount	<i>7.</i> –	1	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if require	ed ed